



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,945	02/12/2001	Ronald F. Kaminsky	139/02059	1091	
23373	7590 01/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC	_	BALI, VIKKRAM		
2100 PENNSY SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W	<i>1</i> .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		2623		

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TA 12 4/->	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/762,945	KAMINSKY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Vikkram Bali	2623	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	· ·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>04 August 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 and 23 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 and 23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration		
Applicat	ion Papers			
,—	The specification is objected to by the E			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a			
	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by		ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ched Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received cuments have been received the priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage	
			•	
Attachmer		🗂 .	(070.440)	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	•	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/762,945

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the amendment filled on 8/4/2004, all the amendment have been entered and the action follows:

1. Applicant's amendments, see page 3, filed 8/4/2004, with respect to claims 7 and 8 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 USC 112 of claims 7 and 8 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 09/762,945

Art Unit: 2623

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-13, 17-18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luke et al (US 5483603) in view of Bishop et al (US 5524152).

With respect to claim 1, Luke discloses generating an image of the PCB, and determining the presence of oxide (see col. 4. lines 25-38 and col. 5, lines 20-22 the distinguishing between the wire "oxide" and substrate) as claimed. However, Luke fails to disclose the newly added limitation of oxide on a metal conductor, as claimed. Bishop teaches, the oxide on a metal conductor (see col. 7, lines 42-47, different colors are returned for the different part of the PCB) as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to simply combine the two references as they are analogous because they are solving similar problem of PCB inspection. And, the comparison of the oxide brightness to the brightness in the lookup table of Luke can simply be replaced by the brightness of the copper, the motivation is to be able to inspect the PCB under multicolor (see col. 1, lines 58-62 of Bishop).

With respect to the claims 2-13, 17-18 and 23 the rejections are respectfully maintained and incorporated herein with reference as set forth in prior office action paper # 9.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,945

Art Unit: 2623

4. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luke et al (US 5483603) in view of Bishop et al (US 5524152) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Brecher et al (US 5544256).

With respect to the claims 14-16 the rejections are respectfully maintained and incorporated herein with reference as set forth in prior office action paper # 9.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 and 23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 703.305.4510.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703.308.6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,945 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vikkram Bali Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2623

vb January 4, 2005